

To: Costello, Kevin[Costello.Kevin@epa.gov]; Arrington, Linda[Arrington.Linda@epa.gov]; Anderson, Neil[Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]; Grable, Melissa[Grable.Melissa@epa.gov]; Sherman, Kelly[Sherman.Kelly@epa.gov]
From: Dumas, Richard
Sent: Tue 6/20/2017 4:52:44 PM
Subject: FW: Extending Comment Period Recommendations
Time Extension Recommendations.docx

This will likely come up during tomorrow's staff meeting. Any thoughts at my shot at which do not warrant a time extension and which ones might?

Rich

From: Dumas, Richard
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2017 3:04 PM
To: Costello, Kevin <Costello.Kevin@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Extending Comment Period Recommendations

As a FYI, I spoke with Matt about the C-M wheat use and it sounds like one of the most controversial PIDs with a currently open comment period. My take is that this is one that would be a prime candidate for a time extension.

From: Dumas, Richard
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Arrington, Linda <Arrington.Linda@epa.gov>; Costello, Kevin <Costello.Kevin@epa.gov>; Sherman, Kelly <Sherman.Kelly@epa.gov>; Anderson, Neil <Anderson.Neil@epa.gov>; Grable, Melissa <Grable.Melissa@epa.gov>
Subject: Extending Comment Period Recommendations

As a follow-up to yesterday's staff meeting, here is a list of all currently open registration review dockets. I briefing summarized the documents and took a shot at a recommendation as to which dockets we would entertain time extensions ("Maybe" in table). Please let me know your thoughts by COB Monday. I don't know what each of you think, but it seems that it would be "hip pocket" exercise should be get a blanket request for time extensions. However, if I heard Yu-Ting correctly, she was advocating for us to pick the ones to extend independent of a request. So, I would like your input the overall approach and the specific cases that are complex

or controversial enough to justify a time extension.

Rich